

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,518	08/16/2006	Erwin Hartmann	INA-44	7517
20311 LUCAS & ME	7590 02/13/200 ERCANTI, LLP	EXAMINER		
475 PARK AVENUE SOUTH			PATEL, VISHAL A	
15TH FLOOR NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3676		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/596.518	HARTMANN ET AL	
	HARTWANN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Vishal Patel	3676	

	Vishal Patel	3676	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ()	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration also of the set set forth in (a) above. If checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount chortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee to action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since a
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO) w);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a company.			ne issues for
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmen	nt canceling the
7. So purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-4.6-11 and 13-24.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11.   The request for reconsideration has been consideration because:	ered but does NOT place the applic	cation in condition for	allowance
Applicants' argument that Judge does not discloses the presented on 11/13/08. Applicant argument with regard view of the rejection present in the Final Office Action. A 1/23/09 with reference of Hubbard and Sweeney are not	to Skumawitz disclosing inverse de pplicants' arguments presented on	eflector rings is also n	ot persuasive in
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).			
13. Other:			
	/Vishal Patel/		

/Vishal Patel/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3676 Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Application No.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090211

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Added limitaions to claims "outwardly conical" requires further consideration and/or search.